

CHRISTOPHER J. HAMNER, ESQ. (SBN 197117)
chamner@hamnerlaw.com
AMY T. WOOTTON, ESQ. (SBN 188856)
awootton@hamnerlaw.com
EVELINA SERAFINI, ESQ. – Of Counsel (SBN 187137)
eserafini@hamnerlaw.com
HAMNER LAW OFFICES, APC
555 W. 5th Street, 31st Floor
Los Angeles, California 90013
Telephone: (213) 533-4160
Facsimile: (213) 533-4167

Attorneys for Plaintiffs
RICARDO DEL RIO and TONY MEHRDAD SAGHEBIAN

ROBERT G. HULTENG, Bar No. 071293
rhulteng@littler.com
JOHN C. FISH, Jr., Bar No. 160620
jfish@littler.com
ANDREW M. SPURCHISE, Bar No. 245998
aspurchise@littler.com
ROXANNA IRAN, Bar No. 273625
riran@littler.com
LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.
650 California Street, 20th Floor
San Francisco, California 94108.2693
Telephone: 415.433.1940
Facsimile: 415.399.8490

Attorneys for Defendants
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and
RASIER-CA, LLC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RICARDO DEL RIO, an individual
California resident and TONY MEHRDAD
SAGHEBIAN, an individual California
resident, on behalf of themselves and all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a
Delaware Corporation, RASIER-CA, LLC,
a Delaware Limited Liability Company,
and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:15-cv-03667-EMC

**JOINT STIPULATION REGARDING
MOTION TO COMPEL ARBITRATION
BRIEFING**

Complaint Filed: August 11, 2015
FAC Filed: September 21, 2015
Trial Date: None set

Pursuant to Rule 6-1(b) of the Local Rules of the United States District for the Northern District of California, Plaintiffs RICARDO DEL RIO and TONY MEHRDAD SAGHEBIAN (“Plaintiffs”), and Defendants UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. and RASIER-CA, LLC (“Defendants”) (collectively, “the Parties”), through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate and agree that the deadline for Plaintiffs’ Response to Defendants’ Motion to Compel Arbitration be extended from October 28, 2015 to November 11, 2015 and the deadline for Defendants’ Reply extended from November 4 to November 23 in order to provide Plaintiffs adequate time to prepare a Response to Defendants’ Motion to Compel Arbitration and to provide Defendants with adequate time to prepare a Reply in support of Defendants’ Motion to Compel Arbitration.

There has been no previous request to extend the timing for the deadlines associated with Defendants’ Motion to Compel Arbitration. This stipulation will not require the alteration of the hearing date already set by Court Order. *See* Dkt. 16.

Dated: October 19, 2015

/s/ Roxanna Iran

ROBERT G. HULTENG
JOHN C. FISH, Jr.
ANDREW M. SPURCHISE
ROXANNA IRAN
LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.
Attorneys for Defendants
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC. AND
RASIER-CA, LLC

Dated: October 19, 2015

/s/ Amy T. Wootton

CHRISTOPHER J. HAMNER
AMY T. WOOTTON
EVELINA SERAFINI
HAMNER LAW OFFICES, APC
Attorneys for Plaintiff
RICARDO DEL RIO

*Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), the filer hereby attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from all signatories.

1
2
3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4
5 Date: 10/23/15

